

GRANTEF CLOSEOUT EVALUATION

Name of Grantee: Elizabeth House

Name of Grant Program/MDH Division: Positive Alternatives, Maternal and Child Health

Grant Period: July 1, 2014 – June 2016

Amount of Grant: \$122,000

1. Did the grantee complete the goals outlined in the grant agreement in a timely manner? Yes, the grantee has completed goals outline in their grant agreement.

2. Are you satisfied with the quality of the work provided by the grantee?

Yes, this grantee has been consistent in providing the programs they had outlined in their work plan.

3. Did this grant agreement contain any amendments? If so, explain what the amendments were for and if requested by MDH or grantee.

Yes, they did have an amendment to their agreement at the request of MDH.

4. Was the grantee responsive and professional?

Yes, this grantee was professional and timely in their obligations as a MDH PA grantee.

5. Did the grantee submit all required reports in a timely manner?

Yes, reports were sent in when requested.

6. Did the grantee request payment for services (invoices) and any necessary documentation in a timely manner?

Yes, invoicing was requested on or before due dates.

7. Did the grantee perform in accordance with the grant agreement?

Yes, the grantee followed the outlined responsibilities in their grant agreement.

8. Were any issues identified during the site visit or financial reconciliation (if required)? How were these issues addressed by the grantee and/or MDH?

No issues were identified.

9. If similar programs are available in the future, would you recommend this grantee?

This grantee will be closing their Center after the completion of the 2012-16 Positive Alternative grant cycle. They also did not reapply for the new 2016-19 grant cycle.

Additional Comments:

Elizabeth House has been a responsible and dedicated grantee providing much needed services in the rural area of south central Minnesota. Services provided include: crib safety education and distribution, pregnancy and parenting education, and material support. EH has had good community support and linkages along with a visible location on main-street. They have completed their 2012-16 grant cycle dependably following their work plan and budget. EH has a board of directors that are retiring and have found little continued interest by local volunteers to carry on the program resulting

Revised 7/2015 Page **1** of **2**



GRANTEE CLOSEOUT EVALUATION

in the decision to close their current operations at the end of this grant cycle. MDH is appreciative of the services provided by EH to women in need and for their partnership in providing positive alternatives to women in unplanned pregnancies.

Name of MDH Evaluator: Mary Ottman, Positive Alternatives Grant Manager

Date: 3/21/16

Revised 7/2015 Page **2** of **2**